

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

1.  
OA 611/2015

Sep Somvir (Army No 3202078W) .....Petitioner

Versus

UOI & Ors. ....Respondents

For petitioner : Mr VS Kadian, Advocate  
For respondents : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.  
HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.

ORDER  
25.08.2015

Admit. Issue notice.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice. She may file  
memo of appearance also.

3. Three weeks' time is granted to file the counter. Rejoinder, if any,  
be filed within two weeks thereafter.

4. Put up on **06.10.2015**.

(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON

(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

2.  
OA 612/2015

Ex Sub BK Sharma .....Petitioner

Versus

UOI & Ors. ....Respondents

For petitioner : Mr VS Kadian, Advocate  
For respondents : Mr Ajai Bhalla, Advocate

CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.  
HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Admit. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice. He may file memo of appearance also.

2. Respondents may file counter within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

3. Meanwhile, the respondents may immediately take steps with respect to the petitioner's claim which was forwarded and returned as there were defects, as indicated in the forwarding letter dated 08.01.2015. The decision be taken by the respondents with respect to the petitioner's claim within four weeks.

4. Put up on **14.10.2015**.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

3.  
OA 614/2015

Hav Asok Biswas (Retd) (14247797) .....Petitioner

Versus

UOI & Ors. ....Respondents

For petitioner : Mr Sukhjinder Singh, Advocate  
For respondents : Mr Anil Gautam, Advocate

CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.  
HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Admit. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice. He may file memo of appearance also.

2. The respondents may file counter within four weeks.
3. Tag with OA 389/2015-Ex Hav Chhattara Pal Singh Vs Union of India & Ors.
4. Put up on **24.09.2015**.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**4.**  
**MA 520/2015**  
**In OA 348/2011**

**Subedar SK Panda** .....**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.** .....**Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Ms Archana Ramesh, Advocate  
**For respondents** : Mr Sayan Samaddar, Adv. proxy for Mr Ankur Chhibber,  
Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**O R D E R**  
**25.08.2015**

Learned proxy counsel for the respondents submitted that sanction has been issued on 24.08.2015 (yesterday). Steps be taken for issuing the PPO without any delay. Put up after six weeks.

2. List on **14.10.2015**.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

5.  
MA 169/2015  
In OA 222/2013

Col RK Khanna (Retd)

.....Petitioner

Versus

UOI & Ors.

.....Respondents

For petitioner : Ms Shristhi Kaul, Adv. proxy for Mr SS Pandey,  
Advocate

For respondents : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.

HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per her information, the PPO has been issued. But, the cost has not been paid.

2. The respondents may submit the PPO and pay the cost to the petitioner on the next date of hearing.

3. Put up on **07.09.2015**.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**6.**  
**MA 665/2015 & MA 666/2015**  
**In MA 414/2014 & MA 224/2015**  
**In TA 656/2009**

**Maj SV Rao** .....**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.** .....**Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Mr Y Raja Gopala Rao, Advocate  
**For respondents** : Mr Sayan Samaddar, Adv. proxy for Mr Ankur Chhibber,  
Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON’BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**O R D E R**  
**25.08.2015**

At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, put up on  
**01.09.2015.**

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**7.**

**OA 415/2015**

**With MA 455/2015**

**Ex Sub Ram Narayan**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**For petitioner : Mr VS Kadian, Advocate**

**For respondents : Mr JS Yadav, Advocate**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**

**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**O R D E R**

**25.08.2015**

The petitioner has not filed the amended memo of the parties in view of the order dated 06.07.2015.

2. Respondents are granted four weeks' time to file the counter.

Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

3. Put up on **14.10.2015**.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**8.**  
**OA 416/2015**

**Ex Cpl Tej Ram Agarwal** .....**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.** .....**Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Mr VS Kadian, Advocate  
**For respondents** : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, put up on  
**28.08.2015.**

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als



**FORM NO. 4**  
**(See Rule 11 (1))**  
**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**9.**  
**OA 285/2015**

**Sgt Hem chander (Retd)** .....**Petitioner**  
**Versus**  
**UOI & Ors.** .....**Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Mr Rajesh Nandal, Advocate  
**For respondents** : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON’BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<b>Dated 25.08.2015</b>	Order passed vide separate order sheet, is placed on record. The OA is dismissed.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated: 25.08.2015/als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**9.**  
**OA 285/2015**

**Sgt Hem chander (Retd)**  
**Versus**  
**UOI & Ors.**

**.....Petitioner**  
**.....Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Mr Rajesh Nandal, Advocate  
**For respondents** : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner's grievance is that he has been denied the disability pension in spite of the fact that the respondents themselves have admitted that the petitioner was in A4G1 medical category at the time of his discharge from service which is a low medical category.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner relied upon the medical documents of the year 1988 to show that the petitioner was subjected to operation for his some nasal problem. According to the petitioner, because of that and consequence to that, the petitioner suffered some hearing problem also. Therefore, the petitioner should have been declared as a medically disabled person at the time of his discharge from service. It is submitted that the respondents have admitted that the petitioner was placed in A4G1 category, but in the Medical Board's proceedings, he has been declared as a fully fit person.

4. We considered the medical documents placed on record by the petitioner. It may be true that in the year 1988 because of some problem in the nose and because of some problem in the respiration, the operation was performed. It may also be true that the petitioner may have developed some hearing problem at that time due to said nasal problem. But at the time of his release in the year 2000, the Medical Board found that he had no medical disability.

5. So far as the medical category 'A 4' is concerned, that is only "functional capacity impaired to the extent that it interferes with flying

duties as an aircrew, but does not interfere with flying as a passenger. “Officers of ground duties branches possess full functional capacity or impaired functional capacity, not interfering with flying as a passenger, for which, it can be mentioned that they are unfit to fly as an aircrew, but fit to fly as a passenger only.” Therefore, the above is not any sort of disability for the ground duty staff. The petitioner was admittedly a ground duty staff. If the petitioner’s medical disability would have been lowered down to such an extent so as to cover his medical category in ‘G’, then certainly he would have been entitled to be declared as a medically disabled person.

6. In view of the above reasons, we do not find any error in the decision of the respondents denying the disability pension to the petitioner. It is also relevant to mention here that the petitioner after his discharge from service in the year 2000, raised his grievance for the first time in 2014 (08.12.2014), therefore, the petitioner was knowing it fully well that his medical category has not put him in low medical category.

7. In view of the above, the OA is dismissed having no merit.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

10.  
OA 369/2013  
With MA 506/2013

Rect Zile Singh .....Petitioner  
Versus .....Respondents  
UOI & Ors.

For petitioner : None  
For respondents : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.  
HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.

O R D E R  
25.08.2015

As a last opportunity, four weeks' time is granted to file the counter.

In case counter is not filed, the matter will be decided without counter.

2. Put up on **28.09.2015**.

(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON

(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**11.**  
**OA 666/2014**

**Sqn Ldr Suchet Singh Yadav & Ors** .....Petitioner

## Versus

**UOI & Ors. .... Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Mr Sukhjinder Singh, advocate  
**For respondents** : Mr Ajai Bhalla, Advocate for Air Force  
 Ms Sangeeta Tomar, Advocate for Navy  
 Mr SP Sharma, Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Mr SP Sharma, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondent No.2. The respondent No. 2 has adopted the reply of the other respondents.

2. The office is directed, not to show the name of Mr Ankur Chhibber, Advocate on behalf of respondent No. 2 and in his place, the name of Mr. SP Sharma, Advocate shall be shown.

3. Arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner concluded. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 argued the matter at length, but his arguments remained inconclusive. The respondents may inform, when the rank of 2nd Lieutenant was abolished.

2. Put up on **04.09.2015.**

**(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**12.**

**OA 231/2015**

**With MA 261/2015**

**Sep Indrapal Singh**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Ms Archana Ramesh, Advocate

**For respondents** : Mr SP Sharma, Advocate for R-1-2 & R-4  
MS Anjali Vohra, Advocate for R-3

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**

**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**

**25.08.2015**

Learned counsel for the respondent No. 3, Director General, Army Group Insurance Fund submitted that the amount what was payable by the respondent No. 3 to the petitioner, has already been paid to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has received the amount. In view of the above, no relief survives against the respondent No. 3. Therefore, this OA with respect to the respondent No. 3 is disposed of and the respondent No. 3 is discharged from this OA.

3. After arguing the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time.

4. Put up on **01.10.2015.**

**(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015

als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**13.**  
**OA 605/2014**

**Ex Sahab Khan**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**For petitioner : Mr RK Rastogi, Advocate**

**For respondents : Mr SP Sharma, Advocate**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**

**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that learned counsel for the petitioner is busy in Court No.2 and, therefore, sought adjournment.

2. Put up on **09.10.2015**.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

14.  
OA 55/2015

Hav Narayan Singh Airee

.....Petitioner

Versus

UOI & Ors.

.....Respondents

For petitioner : Ms Shristhi Kaul, Adv. proxy for Mr SS Pandey,  
Advocate

For respondents : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.

HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.

O R D E R  
25.08.2015

Two weeks' time is granted for filing the rejoinder.

2. Put up on **14.09.2015**.

(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON

(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER

Dated:25.08.2015  
als



IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI

15.  
OA 306/2015

Wg Cdr VK Krandikar (Retd) .....Petitioner

Versus

UOI & Ors. ....Respondents

For petitioner : None  
For respondents : Ms Shilpa Singh, Advocate

CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.  
HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.

O R D E R  
25.08.2015

Last opportunity of four weeks' time is granted to file the counter.

2. Put up on **28.09.2015**.

(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON

(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**16.**

**OA 299/2014**

**With MA 341/2014**

**Maj Rupinder Singh**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**For petitioner : Ms Archana ramesh, Advocate**

**For respondents : Mr SP Sharma, Advocate**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**

**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**O R D E R**

**25.08.2015**

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he will be filing the additional affidavit today. However, he sought time.

**2. Put up on 22.09.2015.**

**(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**17.**

**OA 446/2014**

**L/Nk Sushil Kumar**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**For petitioner : Ms Archana Ramesh, Advocate**

**For respondents : Mr SP Sharma, Advocate**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**

**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**

**25.08.2015**

At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter is  
adjourned.

**2. Put up on 01.10.2015.**

**(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)  
MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**FORM NO. 4**  
**(See Rule 11 (1))**  
**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

18.  
OA 387/2014  
With MA 457/2014

Nk Yogesh Kumar (decd) through L.r. ....Petitioner

Versus

UOI & Ors. ....Respondents

For petitioner : Ms Archana Ramesh, Advocate  
For respondents : Mr Satya Saharawat, Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON’BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
Dated 25.08.2015	Order passed vide separate order sheet, is placed on record. The OA is dismissed.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated: 25.08.2015/als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**18.**

**OA 387/2014**

**With MA 457/2014**

**Nk Yogesh Kumar (decd) through L.r. ....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors. ....Respondents**

**For petitioner : Ms Archana Ramesh, Advocate**

**For respondents : Mr Satya Saharawat, Advocate**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**

**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**O R D E R**

**25.08.2015**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The deceased petitioner Naik Yogesh Kumar was enrolled as a Sepoy in the Corps of EME on 15.02.2001 and he was invalided out from service on 20.03.2012. He was invalided out because of 'Alcohol Dependency Syndrome' and no other disability.

3. It will be relevant to mention here that the deceased petitioner Naik Yogesh Kumar invalided on 20.03.2012 and expired on 09.05.2013. Therefore, this OA has been filed by the children through their legal representative, their grand mother, as the petitioner's wife also died on 30.12.2013.

4. We have perused the original Medical Board's proceedings and found that the deceased, Naik Yogesh Kumar was continuously in the habit of taking too much liquor and he was continuously admitted in the hospital. Even after so much counseling and de-addiction treatment, he could not avoid use of liquor even for a very short duration. The deceased Yogesh Kumar could control his bad habit for a short duration and he was placed in medical category SHAPE-1. But, immediately thereafter, he again came in the grip of bad habit, which ultimately lowered down his medical category to S5. There is no disease as such which can be said to be having any connection with the petitioner's service conditions. Merely

because of his bad habit, he was not in a position to serve the Army any more. Therefore, he was recommended for invalidment. Therefore, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was rightly denied the disability pension.

5. In view of the above reasons, there is no merit in the OA and it is dismissed accordingly.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**19.**  
**OA 665/2014**

## Versus

**For petitioner** : Ms Shristhi Kaul, Adv. proxy for Mr HS Tiwari, Advocate  
**For respondents** : Mr JS Yadav, Advocate

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.  
HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

Two weeks' time is granted to file the rejoinder.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)  
CHAIRPERSON**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

**IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI**

**20.**  
**OA 248/2013**

**Disabled War Veterans through its President .....Petitioner**  
**Col HN Honda**

**Versus**

**UOI & Ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Mr Adarsh Kumar Tiwari, Adv. proxy for Mr Karan Singh  
Bhati & Ms Aishwarya Bhati, Advocates  
**For respondents** : Mr Sayan Samaddar, Adv. proxy for Mr Ankur Chhibber,  
Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

As a last opportunity, four weeks' time is granted to file the counter to the amended OA. In case the counter is not filed, the matter will be heard and decided without the counter.

2. Put up on **08.10.2015**.

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als



**21.**  
**OA 678/2014**  
**With MA 817/2014**

**Ex Hav Umesh Prasad & Anr .....Petitioner**

## Versus

**UOI & Ors. .... Respondents**

**For petitioner** : Mr VS Kadian, Advocate  
**For respondents** : Mr SP Sharma, Adv. proxy for Ms Jagrati Singh, Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH TATIA, CHAIRPERSON.**  
**HON'BLE LT. GEN. SANJIV LANGER, MEMBER.**

**ORDER**  
**25.08.2015**

Tag with OA 389/2015-Ex Hav Chattara Pal Singh Vs Union of India &  
Ors.

2. At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, put up on

**24.09.2015.**

**(PRAKASH TATIA)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(SANJIV LANGER)**  
**MEMBER**

Dated:25.08.2015  
als

